arXiv:1103.0113v1 [math.AP] 1 Mar 2011

DETERMINING A FIRST ORDER PERTURBATION OF THE BIHARMONIC OPERATOR BY PARTIAL BOUNDARY MEASUREMENTS

KATSIARYNA KRUPCHYK, MATTI LASSAS, AND GUNTHER UHLMANN

Abstract. We consider an operator $\Delta^2 + A(x) \cdot D + q(x)$ with the Navier boundary conditions on a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 3$. We show that a first order perturbation $A(x) \cdot D + q$ can be determined uniquely by measuring the Dirichlet–to–Neumann map on possibly very small subsets of the boundary of the domain. Notice that the corresponding result does not hold in general for a first order perturbation of the Laplacian.

1. Introduction and statement of results

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 3$, be a bounded simply connected domain with C^∞ connected boundary, and let us consider the following equation,

$$\mathcal{L}_{A,q}(x, D)u = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega,$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_{A,q}(x, D) = \Delta^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{n} A_j(x)D_j + q(x) = \Delta^2 + A(x) \cdot D + q(x),$$

$D = i^{-1}\nabla$, $A = (A_j)_{1 \leq j \leq n} \in C^4(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{C}^n)$, and $q \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$. The operator $\mathcal{L}_{A,q}$, equipped with the domain

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L}_{A,q}) = \{u \in H^4(\Omega) : u|_{\partial\Omega} = (\Delta u)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\}, \tag{1.1}$$

is an unbounded closed operator on $L^2(\Omega)$ with purely discrete spectrum, see [14]. The boundary conditions in (1.1) are called the Navier boundary conditions. Physically, the operator $\mathcal{L}_{A,q}$ with the domain $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L}_{A,q})$ arises when considering the equilibrium configuration of an elastic plate which is hinged along the boundary, see [9]. Let us make the following assumption,

(A) 0 is not an eigenvalue of $\mathcal{L}_{A,q}(x, D) : \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L}_{A,q}) \to L^2(\Omega)$.

Under the assumption (A), for any $(f_0,f_1)\in H^{7/2}(\partial\Omega)\times H^{3/2}(\partial\Omega)$, the boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{A,q}u&=0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega,\\ u&=f_0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega,\\ \Delta u&=f_1 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega, \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

has a unique solution $u\in H^4(\Omega)$. Let ν be the unit outer normal to the boundary $\partial\Omega$. We then define the Dirichlet–to–Neumann map $\mathcal{N}_{A,q}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_{A,q}: H^{7/2}(\partial\Omega)\times H^{3/2}(\partial\Omega) \to& H^{5/2}(\partial\Omega)\times H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega),\\ \mathcal{N}_{A,q}(f_0,f_1)=&(\partial_\nu u|_{\partial\Omega},\partial_\nu(\Delta u)|_{\partial\Omega}) \end{aligned}$$

where $u\in H^4(\Omega)$ is the solution to the problem (1.2). Let us also introduce the set of the Cauchy data $\mathcal{C}_{A,q}$ for the operator $\mathcal{L}_{A,q}$ defined as follows,

$$\mathcal{C}_{A,q}=\{(u|_{\partial\Omega},(\Delta u)|_{\partial\Omega},\partial_\nu u|_{\partial\Omega},\partial_\nu(\Delta u)|_{\partial\Omega}) : u\in H^4(\Omega), \mathcal{L}_{A,q}u=0 \text{ in } \Omega\}.$$

When the assumption (A) holds, the set $\mathcal{C}_{A,q}$ is the graph of the Dirichlet–to–Neumann map $\mathcal{N}_{A,q}$.

It was shown in [23] that the first order perturbation $A(x)\cdot D+q(x)$ of the polyharmonic operator $(-\Delta)^m$, $m\geq 2$, can be recovered from the knowledge of the set of the Cauchy data $\mathcal{C}_{A,q}$ on the boundary of Ω. Notice that for the corresponding problem for a first order perturbation of the Laplacian, this is no longer true, due to the gauge invariance of boundary measurements, see [19, 30, 37]. In this case, the first order perturbation can be recovered only modulo a gauge transformation, [30, 37].

In this paper we are concerned with the inverse problem of determining the first order perturbation $A(x)\cdot D+q(x)$ of the biharmonic operator from the knowledge of the Dirichlet–to–Neumann map $\mathcal{N}_{A,q}$, given only on a part of the boundary $\partial\Omega$.

In many applications, say, arising in geophysics, performing measurements on the entire boundary could be either impossible or too cost consuming. One is therefore naturally led to an inverse boundary value problem with partial measurements. Substantial progress has been made recently on the partial data problems in the context of electrical impedance tomography as well as the Schrödinger equation. Specifically, in the paper [4] it was shown that an unknown conductivity is determined uniquely by performing voltage–to–current measurements on, roughly speaking, a half of the boundary. The main technical tool was a boundary Carleman estimate with a linear weight. The Carleman estimates approach to the partial data problem was very much advanced in the work [20]. Here, rather than working with linear weights, a broader class of limiting Carleman weights was introduced and employed. The work [20] contains a global uniqueness result for the conductivity equation, assuming that the voltage–to–current map is measured on a possibly very small subset of the boundary, with the precise shape depending on the geometry of the boundary. The limiting Carleman weights approach of [20] has led to subsequent important developments in the partial data problem for the magnetic Schrödinger operator [7], [21] and the Dirac system [36]. To the best of our knowledge, applications of this approach to partial data problems for other important equations and systems of mathematical physics have not yet been explored. The purpose of this paper is to apply the techniques of Carleman estimates to the partial data problem for the perturbed biharmonic operator.

We should also mention another approach to the partial data problems for the conductivity equation, which is due to [18], and which is based on reflection arguments. In this approach, the subset of the boundary, where the measurements are performed is such that the inaccessible part of the boundary is a subset of a hyperplane or a sphere. The work [6] gives a partial data result analogous to [18] for the Maxwell equations.

Let us now proceed to describe the precise assumptions and results. Let $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{\mathrm{ch}(\Omega)}$, where $\mathrm{ch}(\Omega)$ is the convex hull of Ω. Following [20], we define the front face of $\partial\Omega$ with respect to x_0 by

$$F(x_0) = \{x \in \partial\Omega : (x - x_0) \cdot \nu(x) \leq 0\}, \tag{1.3}$$

and let $\widetilde{F}$ be an open neighborhood of $F(x_0)$ in $\partial\Omega$. The main result of this paper is as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 3$, be a bounded simply connected domain with C^∞ connected boundary, and let $A^{(1)}, A^{(2)} \in C^4(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{C}^n)$ and $q^{(1)}, q^{(2)} \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$, be such that the assumption (A) is satisfied for both operators. If*

$$\mathcal{N}_{A^{(1)},q^{(1)}}(f_0, f_1)|_{\widetilde{F}} = \mathcal{N}_{A^{(2)},q^{(2)}}(f_0, f_1)|_{\widetilde{F}} \quad \text{for all } (f_0, f_1) \in H^{7/2}(\partial\Omega) \times H^{3/2}(\partial\Omega),$$

then $A^{(1)} = A^{(2)}$ and $q^{(1)} = q^{(2)}$ in Ω.

Following [20], we say that an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with smooth boundary is strongly star shaped with respect to a point $x_1 \in \partial\Omega$, if every line through x_1 which is not contained in the tangent hyperplane cuts the boundary $\partial\Omega$ at precisely two distinct points x_1 and x_2, with transversal intersection at x_2. We have the following corollary of Theorem 1.1.

Corollary 1.2. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 3$, be a bounded simply connected domain with C^∞ connected boundary, and let $x_1 \in \partial\Omega$ be such that the tangent hyperplane of $\partial\Omega$ at x_1 only intersects $\partial\Omega$ at x_1 and Ω is strongly star shaped with respect to x_1. Furthermore, let $A^{(1)}, A^{(2)} \in C^4(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{C}^n)$ and $q^{(1)}, q^{(2)} \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$, be such that the assumption (A) is satisfied for both operators. If for a neighborhood $\widetilde{F}$ of x_1 in $\partial\Omega$, we have*

$$\mathcal{N}_{A^{(1)},q^{(1)}}(f_0, f_1)|_{\widetilde{F}} = \mathcal{N}_{A^{(2)},q^{(2)}}(f_0, f_1)|_{\widetilde{F}} \quad \text{for all } (f_0, f_1) \in H^{7/2}(\partial\Omega) \times H^{3/2}(\partial\Omega),$$

then $A^{(1)} = A^{(2)}$ and $q^{(1)} = q^{(2)}$ in Ω.

Notice that if Ω is strictly convex, then the assumptions on Ω of Corollary 1.2 are satisfied for any $x_1 \in \partial\Omega$, and therefore, measuring the Dirichlet–to–Neumann map on an arbitrarily small open subset of the boundary determines the first order perturbation uniquely.

Let $\widetilde{F}$ be an open neighborhood of the front face of $\partial\Omega$ with respect to x_0, defined in (1.3). Associated to $\widetilde{F}$, consider the set of the Cauchy data for the first order perturbation of the biharmonic operator, which is based on the Dirichlet boundary conditions $(u|_{\partial\Omega}, \partial_\nu u|_{\partial\Omega})$,

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}^{\widetilde{F}}_{A^{(j)},q^{(j)}} = \{(u|_{\partial\Omega}, \partial_\nu u|_{\partial\Omega}, \partial_\nu^2 u|_{\partial\Omega}, \partial_\nu^3 u|_{\widetilde{F}}) : u \in H^4(\Omega), \mathcal{L}_{A^{(j)},q^{(j)}} u = 0 \text{ in } \Omega\},$$

$j = 1, 2$. Notice that the Dirichlet boundary conditions correspond to the clamped plate equation. We have the following partial data result.

Corollary 1.3. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 3$, be a bounded simply connected domain with C^∞ connected boundary, and let $A^{(1)}, A^{(2)} \in C^4(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{C}^n)$ and $q^{(1)}, q^{(2)} \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$. If $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}^{\widetilde{F}}_{A^{(1)},q^{(1)}} = \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}^{\widetilde{F}}_{A^{(2)},q^{(2)}}$, then $A^{(1)} = A^{(2)}$ and $q^{(1)} = q^{(2)}$ in Ω.*

Corollary 1.3 follows from Theorem 1.1 and the explicit description for the Laplacian in the boundary normal coordinates, see [26].

Finally, let us mention that the study of inverse boundary value problems has a long and distinguished tradition, in particular, in the context of electrical impedance tomography, see [1, 2, 29, 38] for the two dimensional case, and [5, 10, 28, 33, 39] for the case of higher dimensions, as well as in inverse boundary value problems and inverse scattering problems for the Schrödinger equation [3, 8, 10, 17, 30, 31, 34, 37], and in elliptic inverse problems on Riemannian manifolds, [15, 16, 19, 24, 25, 26]. For sufficiently non-regular coefficients, the inverse problems are not uniquely solvable, see [10, 11], even when the measurements are performed on the whole boundary. These counterexamples are closely related to the so-called invisibility cloaking, see e.g. [12, 13, 22, 27, 32].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we construct complex geometric optics solutions for the perturbed biharmonic operator, using the methods of Carleman estimates with limiting Carleman weights. Section 3 is devoted to Carleman estimates with boundary terms for the perturbed biharmonic operator. These estimates are crucial when estimating away the boundary terms in the derivation of the main integral identity, which is carried out in Section 4. The final Section 5 is concerned with the determination of the first order perturbation, relying upon the main integral identity. We notice that the unique identifiability of the vector field part of the perturbation becomes possible thanks to special properties of the amplitudes in the complex geometric optics solutions.

2. Construction of complex geometric optics solutions

Let $\Omega\subset\mathbb{R}^n$, $n\ge 3$, be a bounded domain with C^∞-boundary. Following [7, 20], we shall use the method of Carleman estimates to construct complex geometric optics solutions for the equation $\mathcal{L}_{A,q}u=0$ in Ω, with $A\in C^4(\overline{\Omega},\mathbb{C}^n)$ and $q\in L^\infty(\Omega,\mathbb{C})$.

First we shall derive a Carleman estimate for the semiclassical biharmonic operator $(-h^2\Delta)^2$, where $h>0$ is a small parameter, by iterating the corresponding Carleman estimate for the semiclassical Laplacian $-h^2\Delta$, which we now proceed to recall following [20, 35]. Let $\widetilde{\Omega}$ be an open set in $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\Omega\subset\subset\widetilde{\Omega}$ and $\varphi\in C^\infty(\widetilde{\Omega},\mathbb{R})$. Consider the conjugated operator

$$P_\varphi=e^{\frac{\varphi}{h}}(-h^2\Delta)e^{-\frac{\varphi}{h}}$$

and its semiclassical principal symbol

$$p_\varphi(x,\xi)=\xi^2+2i\nabla\varphi\cdot\xi-|\nabla\varphi|^2,\quad x\in\widetilde{\Omega},\quad \xi\in\mathbb{R}^n. \tag{2.1}$$

Following [20], we say that φ is a limiting Carleman weight for $-h^2\Delta$ in $\widetilde{\Omega}$, if $\nabla\varphi\ne 0$ in $\widetilde{\Omega}$ and the Poisson bracket of $\operatorname{Re}p_\varphi$ and $\operatorname{Im}p_\varphi$ satisfies,

$$\{\operatorname{Re}p_\varphi,\operatorname{Im}p_\varphi\}(x,\xi)=0\quad\text{when}\quad p_\varphi(x,\xi)=0,\quad (x,\xi)\in\widetilde{\Omega}\times\mathbb{R}^n.$$

Examples are linear weights $\varphi(x)=\alpha\cdot x$, $\alpha\in\mathbb{R}^n$, $|\alpha|=1$, and logarithmic weights $\varphi(x)=\log|x-x_0|$, with $x_0\notin\widetilde{\Omega}$. In this paper we shall use the logarithmic weights.

In what follows we shall equip the standard Sobolev space $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $s\in\mathbb{R}$, with the semiclassical norm $\|u\|_{H^s_{\mathrm{scl}}}=\|\langle hD\rangle^s u\|_{L^2}$. Here $\langle\xi\rangle=(1+|\xi|^2)^{1/2}$. We shall need the following result, obtained in [35], generalizing the Carleman estimate with a gain of one derivative, proven in [20].

Proposition 2.1. *Let φ be a limiting Carleman weight for the semiclassical Laplacian on $\widetilde{\Omega}$. Then the Carleman estimate*

$$\|e^{\frac{\varphi}{h}}(-h^2\Delta)e^{-\frac{\varphi}{h}}u\|_{H^s_{\mathrm{scl}}}\ge\frac{h}{C_{s,\Omega}}\|u\|_{H^{s+2}_{\mathrm{scl}}},\quad C_{s,\Omega}>0, \tag{2.2}$$

holds for all $u\in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$, $s\in\mathbb{R}$ and all $h>0$ small enough.

Iterating the Carleman estimate (2.2) two times, we get the following Carleman estimate for the biharmonic operator,

$$\|e^{\frac{\varphi}{h}}(h^2\Delta)^2e^{-\frac{\varphi}{h}}u\|_{H^s_{\mathrm{scl}}}\ge\frac{h^2}{C_{s,\Omega}}\|u\|_{H^{s+4}_{\mathrm{scl}}}, \tag{2.3}$$

for all $u\in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$, $s\in\mathbb{R}$ and $h>0$ small.

Let $A \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega,\mathbb{C}^n)$ and $q \in L^\infty(\Omega,\mathbb{C})$. Then to add the perturbation $h^4 q$ to the estimate (2.3), we assume that $-4 \le s \le 0$ and use that

$$\|qu\|_{H^s_{\mathrm{scl}}} \le \|qu\|_{L^2} \le \|q\|_{L^\infty}\|u\|_{L^2} \le \|q\|_{L^\infty}\|u\|_{H^{s+4}_{\mathrm{scl}}}.$$

To add the perturbation

$$h^3 e^{\frac{\varphi}{h}}(A\cdot hD)e^{-\frac{\varphi}{h}} = h^3(A\cdot hD + iA\cdot\nabla\varphi)$$

to the estimate (2.3), assuming that $-4 \le s \le 0$, we need the following estimates

$$\|(A\cdot\nabla\varphi)u\|_{H^s_{\mathrm{scl}}} \le \|A\cdot\nabla\varphi\|_{L^\infty}\|u\|_{H^{s+4}_{\mathrm{scl}}},$$

$$\begin{aligned}\|A\cdot hDu\|_{H^s_{\mathrm{scl}}} &\le \sum_{j=1}^n \|hD_j(A_j u)\|_{H^s_{\mathrm{scl}}} + \mathcal{O}(h)\|(\operatorname{div} A)u\|_{H^s_{\mathrm{scl}}}\\ &\le \mathcal{O}(1)\sum_{j=1}^n \|A_j u\|_{H^{s+1}_{\mathrm{scl}}} + \mathcal{O}(h)\|u\|_{H^{s+4}_{\mathrm{scl}}} \le \mathcal{O}(1)\|u\|_{H^{s+4}_{\mathrm{scl}}}.\end{aligned}$$

When obtaining the last inequality, we notice that the operator, given by multiplication by A_j, maps $H^{s+4}_{\mathrm{scl}} \to H^{s+1}_{\mathrm{scl}}$. To see this by complex interpolation it suffices to consider the cases $s = 0$ and $s = -4$.

Let

$$\mathcal{L}_\varphi = e^{\frac{\varphi}{h}} h^4 \mathcal{L}_{A,q} e^{-\frac{\varphi}{h}}.$$

Thus, we obtain the following Carleman estimate for a first order perturbation of the biharmonic operator.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $A \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega,\mathbb{C}^n)$, $q \in L^\infty(\Omega,\mathbb{C})$, and φ be a limiting Carleman weight for the semiclassical Laplacian on $\widetilde{\Omega}$. If $-4 \le s \le 0$, then for $h > 0$ small enough, one has*

$$\|\mathcal{L}_\varphi u\|_{H^s_{\mathrm{scl}}} \ge \frac{h^2}{C_{s,\Omega,A,q}}\|u\|_{H^{s+4}_{\mathrm{scl}}}, \qquad (2.4)$$

for all $u \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$.

The formal L^2-adjoint of $\mathcal{L}_\varphi$ is given by

$$\mathcal{L}^*_\varphi = e^{-\frac{\varphi}{h}}(h^4 \mathcal{L}_{\bar{A}, i^{-1}\nabla\cdot\bar{A}+\bar{q}})e^{\frac{\varphi}{h}}.$$

Notice that if φ is a limiting Carleman weight, then so is $-\varphi$. This implies that the Carleman estimate (2.4) holds also for the formal adjoint $\mathcal{L}^*_\varphi$.

To construct complex geometric optics solution we need the following solvability result, similar to [7]. The proof is essentially well-known, and is included here for the convenience of the reader.

Proposition 2.3. *Let* $A \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^n)$*,* $q \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$*, and let* φ *be a limiting Carleman weight for the semiclassical Laplacian on* $\widetilde{\Omega}$*. If* $h > 0$ *is small enough, then for any* $v \in L^2(\Omega)$*, there is a solution* $u \in H^4(\Omega)$ *of the equation*

$$\mathcal{L}_\varphi u = v \quad \textit{in} \quad \Omega,$$

which satisfies

$$\|u\|_{H^4_{\mathrm{scl}}} \leq \frac{C}{h^2}\|v\|_{L^2}.$$

Proof. Consider the following complex linear functional

$$L : \mathcal{L}_\varphi^* C_0^\infty(\Omega) \to \mathbb{C}, \quad \mathcal{L}_\varphi^* w \mapsto (w, v)_{L^2}.$$

By the Carleman estimate (2.4) for the formal adjoint $\mathcal{L}_\varphi^*$, the map L is well-defined.

Let $w \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$. We have

$$|L(\mathcal{L}_\varphi^* w)| = |(w, v)_{L^2}| \leq \|w\|_{L^2}\|v\|_{L^2} \leq \frac{C}{h^2}\|\mathcal{L}_\varphi^* w\|_{H^{-4}_{\mathrm{scl}}}\|v\|_{L^2},$$

showing that L is bounded in the H^{-4}-norm. Thus, by the Hahn-Banach theorem, we may extend L to a linear continuous functional $\widetilde{L}$ on $H^{-4}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ without increasing the norm. By the Riesz representation theorem, there exists $u \in H^4(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that for all $w \in H^{-4}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\widetilde{L}(w) = (w, u)_{(H^{-4}, H^4)}, \quad \text{and} \quad \|u\|_{H^4_{\mathrm{scl}}} \leq \frac{C}{h^2}\|v\|_{L^2}.$$

Here $(\cdot, \cdot)_{(H^{-4}, H^4)}$ stands for the usual L^2-duality. It follows that $\mathcal{L}_\varphi u = v$ in Ω. This completes the proof. ☐

Our next goal is to construct complex geometric optics solutions of the equation

$$\mathcal{L}_{A,q} u = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega, \tag{2.5}$$

with $A \in C^4(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{C}^4)$ and $q \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$, i.e. solutions of the following form,

$$u(x; h) = e^{\frac{\varphi + i\psi}{h}}(a_0(x) + h a_1(x) + r(x; h)). \tag{2.6}$$

Here $\varphi \in C^\infty(\widetilde{\Omega}, \mathbb{R})$ is a limiting Carleman weight for the semiclassical Laplacian on $\widetilde{\Omega}$, $\psi \in C^\infty(\widetilde{\Omega}, \mathbb{R})$ is a solution to the eikonal equation $p_\varphi(x, \nabla\psi) = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \widetilde{\Omega}$, where p_φ is given by (2.1), i.e.

$$|\nabla\psi|^2 = |\nabla\varphi|^2, \quad \nabla\varphi \cdot \nabla\psi = 0, \quad \text{in} \quad \widetilde{\Omega}, \tag{2.7}$$

the amplitudes $a_0 \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ and $a_1 \in C^4(\overline{\Omega})$ are solutions of the first and second transport equations, and r is a correction term, satisfying $\|r\|_{H^4_{\mathrm{scl}}(\Omega)} = \mathcal{O}(h^2)$.

Following [7, 20], we fix a point $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{\mathrm{ch}(\Omega)}$ and let the limiting Carleman weight be

$$\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{2}\log|x - x_0|^2, \tag{2.8}$$

and

$$\psi(x) = \frac{\pi}{2} - \arctan\frac{\omega\cdot(x - x_0)}{\sqrt{(x - x_0)^2 - (\omega\cdot(x - x_0))^2}} = \mathrm{dist}_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}\left(\frac{x - x_0}{|x - x_0|}, \omega\right), \tag{2.9}$$

where $\omega \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ is chosen so that ψ is smooth near $\overline{\Omega}$. Thus, given φ, the function ψ satisfies the eikonal equation (2.7) near $\overline{\Omega}$.

Consider the conjugated operator,

$$e^{\frac{-(\varphi+i\psi)}{h}} h^4 \mathcal{L}_{A,q} e^{\frac{\varphi+i\psi}{h}} = (h^2\Delta + 2hT)^2 + h^3 A\cdot hD + h^3 A\cdot(D\varphi + iD\psi) + h^4 q, \tag{2.10}$$

where

$$T = (\nabla\varphi + i\nabla\psi)\cdot\nabla + \frac{1}{2}(\Delta\varphi + i\Delta\psi). \tag{2.11}$$

Substituting (2.6) into (2.5) and collecting powers of h, we get the first transport equation,

$$T^2 a_0 = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega, \tag{2.12}$$

the second transport equation

$$T^2 a_1 = -\frac{1}{2}(\Delta\circ T + T\circ\Delta)a_0 - \frac{1}{4}A\cdot(D\varphi + iD\psi)a_0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega, \tag{2.13}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\frac{-(\varphi+i\psi)}{h}} h^4 \mathcal{L}_{A,q}(e^{\frac{\varphi+i\psi}{h}} r) = &- e^{\frac{-(\varphi+i\psi)}{h}} h^4 \mathcal{L}_{A,q}(e^{\frac{\varphi+i\psi}{h}}(a_0 + ha_1)) \\ = &- h^4\Delta^2(a_0 + ha_1) - 2h^4(\Delta\circ T + T\circ\Delta)a_1 - h^4 A\cdot Da_0 \\ &- h^5 A\cdot Da_1 - h^4 A\cdot(D\varphi + iD\psi)a_1 - h^4 q(a_0 + ha_1). \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

Let us now discuss the solvability of the equations (2.12), (2.13) and (2.14). To this end we follow [7] and choose coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^n$ so that $x_0 = 0$ and $\overline{\widetilde{\Omega}} \subset \{x_n > 0\}$. We set $\omega = e_1 = (1, 0_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}})$, and introduce also the cylindrical coordinates $(x_1, r\theta)$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $r > 0$ and $\theta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-2}$. Consider the change of coordinates $x \mapsto (z, \theta)$, where $z = x_1 + ir$ is a complex variable. Notice that $\mathrm{Im}\, z > 0$ near $\overline{\Omega}$. Then in these coordinates, we have

$$\varphi = \log|z| = \mathrm{Re}\,\log z, \quad \psi = \frac{\pi}{2} - \arctan\frac{\mathrm{Re}\, z}{\mathrm{Im}\, z} = \mathrm{Im}\,\log z,$$

when $\mathrm{Im}\, z > 0$. Hence,

$$\varphi + i\psi = \log z.$$

Moreover, we have

$$\nabla(\varphi + i\psi) = \frac{1}{z}(e_1 + ie_r), \tag{2.15}$$

where $e_r = (0,\theta)$, $\theta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-2}$, and

$$\nabla(\varphi + i\psi)\cdot\nabla = \frac{2}{z}\partial_{\bar z}, \quad \Delta(\varphi + i\psi) = -\frac{2(n-2)}{z(z-\bar z)}. \tag{2.16}$$

In the cylindrical coordinates, the operator T, defined in (2.11), has the form,

$$T = \frac{2}{z}\bigg(\partial_{\bar z} - \frac{(n-2)}{2(z-\bar z)}\bigg).$$

Thus, it follows that (2.12) has the form,

$$\bigg(\partial_{\bar z} - \frac{(n-2)}{2(z-\bar z)}\bigg)^2 a_0 = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega.$$

In particular, one can take $a_0 \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ satisfying $\Big(\partial_{\bar z} - \frac{(n-2)}{2(z-\bar z)}\Big)a_0 = 0$. The general solution of the latter equation is given by $a_0 = (z-\bar z)^{(2-n)/2} g_0$ with $g_0 \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ satisfying $\partial_{\bar z} g_0 = 0$.

In the cylindrical coordinates, the second transport equation (2.13) has the form,

$$\bigg(\partial_{\bar z} - \frac{(n-2)}{2(z-\bar z)}\bigg)^2 a_1 = f \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega, \tag{2.17}$$

where f is given. Notice that a_1 will have in general the same regularity as f, which is the same as the regularity of A. It follows from (2.14) that we need four derivatives of a_1, which explains our regularity assumptions on A, i.e. $A \in C^4(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{C}^n)$.

In order to solve (2.17), given $f \in C^4(\overline{\Omega})$, one can find $v \in C^4(\overline{\Omega})$, which satisfies

$$\bigg(\partial_{\bar z} - \frac{(n-2)}{2(z-\bar z)}\bigg) v = f \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega, \tag{2.18}$$

and then solve

$$\bigg(\partial_{\bar z} - \frac{(n-2)}{2(z-\bar z)}\bigg) a_1 = v \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega.$$

We look for a solution of (2.18) in the form $v = e^g v_0$ with $g \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ satisfying $\partial_{\bar z} g = \frac{n-2}{2(z-\bar z)}$. Thus, $v_0 \in C^4(\overline{\Omega})$ can be obtained by solving $\partial_{\bar z} v_0 = e^{-g} f$, applying the Cauchy transform, i.e.

$$v_0(z,\theta) = \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\chi(z-\zeta,\theta)e^{-g(z-\zeta,\theta)} f(z-\zeta,\theta)}{\zeta} d\mathrm{Re}\,\zeta d\mathrm{Im}\,\zeta,$$

where $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is such that $\chi = 1$ near $\overline{\Omega}$. Hence, the second transport equation (2.13) is solvable globally near $\overline{\Omega}$ with a solution $a_1 \in C^4(\overline{\Omega})$.

Having chosen the amplitudes $a_0 \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ and $a_1 \in C^4(\overline{\Omega})$, we obtain from (2.14) that

$$e^{\frac{-\varphi}{h}} h^4 \mathcal{L}_{A,q} e^{\frac{\varphi}{h}} (e^{\frac{i\psi}{h}} r) = \mathcal{O}(h^4),$$

in $L^2(\Omega)$. Thanks to Proposition 2.3, for $h > 0$ small enough, there exists a solution $r \in H^4(\Omega)$ of (2.14) such that $\|r\|_{H^4_{\text{scl}}} = \mathcal{O}(h^2)$.

Summing up, we have the following result.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $A \in C^4(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{C}^n)$, $q \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$. Then for all $h > 0$ small enough, there exist solutions $u(x;h) \in H^4(\Omega)$ to the equation $\mathcal{L}_{A,q}u = 0$ in Ω, of the form*

$$u(x;h) = e^{\frac{\varphi + i\psi}{h}}(a_0(x) + ha_1(x) + r(x;h)),$$

where $\varphi \in C^\infty(\widetilde{\Omega}, \mathbb{R})$ is a limiting Carleman weight for the semiclassical Laplacian on $\widetilde{\Omega}$, chosen as in (2.8)*, $\psi \in C^\infty(\widetilde{\Omega}, \mathbb{R})$ is given by* (2.9)*, $a_0 \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ and $a_1 \in C^4(\overline{\Omega})$ are solutions of the first and second transport equations* (2.12) *and* (2.13)*, respectively, and r is a correction term, satisfying $\|r\|_{H^4_{\text{scl}}(\Omega)} = \mathcal{O}(h^2)$.*

3. Boundary Carleman estimates

Following [7, 20], in order to prove that some boundary integrals tend to zero as $h \to 0$, we shall use Carleman estimates, involving the boundary terms.

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 3$, be a bounded domain with C^∞-boundary, and $\widetilde{\Omega} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set such that $\Omega \subset\subset \widetilde{\Omega}$, and $\varphi \in C^\infty(\widetilde{\Omega}, \mathbb{R})$ be a limiting Carleman weight for the semiclassical Laplacian. We define

$$\partial\Omega_\pm = \{x \in \partial\Omega : \pm\partial_\nu\varphi(x) \geq 0\}.$$

We shall need the following result from [20].

Proposition 3.1. *Let φ be a limiting Carleman weight for the semiclassical Laplacian on $\widetilde{\Omega}$. Then there exists $C > 0$ such that the following Carleman estimate*

$$\begin{aligned} &\|e^{\frac{-\varphi}{h}}(-h^2\Delta)u\|_{L^2} + h^{3/2}\|\sqrt{-\partial_\nu\varphi}\, e^{-\frac{\varphi}{h}}\partial_\nu u\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega_-)} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{C}(h\|e^{\frac{-\varphi}{h}}u\|_{H^1_{\text{scl}}} + h^{3/2}\|\sqrt{\partial_\nu\varphi}\, e^{-\frac{\varphi}{h}}\partial_\nu u\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega_+)}), \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

holds for all $u \in H^2(\Omega)$, $u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$, and all $h > 0$ small enough.

Iterating (3.1) two times and adding a first order perturbation, we get the following boundary Carleman estimate for the first order perturbation of the biharmonic operator.

Proposition 3.2. *Let* $A \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^n)$, $q \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$, *and* φ *be a limiting Carleman weight for the semiclassical Laplacian on* $\widetilde{\Omega}$. *Then the following estimate*

$$\begin{aligned} &\|e^{\frac{-\varphi}{h}}(h^4\mathcal{L}_{A,q})u\|_{L^2} + h^{3/2}\|\sqrt{-\partial_\nu\varphi}e^{-\frac{\varphi}{h}}\partial_\nu(-h^2\Delta u)\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega_-)} \\ &\quad + h^{5/2}\|\sqrt{-\partial_\nu\varphi}e^{-\frac{\varphi}{h}}\partial_\nu u\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega_-)} \\ &\quad \geq \frac{1}{C}(h^2\|e^{\frac{-\varphi}{h}}u\|_{H^1_{\mathrm{scl}}} + h^{3/2}\|\sqrt{\partial_\nu\varphi}e^{-\frac{\varphi}{h}}\partial_\nu(-h^2\Delta u)\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega_+)} \\ &\quad + h^{5/2}\|\sqrt{\partial_\nu\varphi}e^{-\frac{\varphi}{h}}\partial_\nu u\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega_+)}), \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

holds, for all $u \in H^4(\Omega)$, $u|_{\partial\Omega} = (\Delta u)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$, *and all* $h > 0$ *small enough.*

Here we use that

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{-\frac{\varphi}{h}}h^4A\cdot Du\|_{L^2} &\leq h^3\sum_{j=1}^n\Big(\|hD_j(A_je^{-\frac{\varphi}{h}}u)\|_{L^2} + \|(hD_j(A_je^{-\frac{\varphi}{h}}))u\|_{L^2}\Big) \\ \leq h^3\sum_{j=1}^n\Big(2\|(hD_jA_j)e^{-\frac{\varphi}{h}}u\|_{L^2} &+ \|A_jhD_j(e^{-\frac{\varphi}{h}}u)\|_{L^2} + \|A_j(D_j\varphi)e^{-\frac{\varphi}{h}}u\|_{L^2}\Big) \\ &\leq \mathcal{O}(h^3)\|e^{-\frac{\varphi}{h}}u\|_{H^1_{\mathrm{scl}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that when φ is given by (2.8), we have $\partial_\nu\varphi(x) = \frac{(x-x_0)\cdot\nu(x)}{|x-x_0|^2}$ and therefore, $\partial\Omega_- = F(x_0)$.

4. Integral identity, needed to recover the first order perturbation

We shall need the following Green's formula, see [14],

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_\Omega(\mathcal{L}_{A,q}u)\overline{v}dx - \int_\Omega u\overline{\mathcal{L}^*_{A,q}v}dx = -i\int_{\partial\Omega}\nu(x)\cdot uA\overline{v}dS - \int_{\partial\Omega}\partial_\nu(-\Delta u)\overline{v}dS \\ &+ \int_{\partial\Omega}(-\Delta u)\overline{\partial_\nu v}dS - \int_{\partial\Omega}\partial_\nu u\overline{(-\Delta v)}dS + \int_{\partial\Omega}u\overline{(\partial_\nu(-\Delta v))}dS, \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

valid for all $u, v \in H^4(\Omega)$. Here $\mathcal{L}^*_{A,q} = \mathcal{L}_{\bar{A}, i^{-1}\nabla\cdot\bar{A}+\bar{q}}$ is the adjoint of $\mathcal{L}_{A,q}$, ν is the unit outer normal to the boundary $\partial\Omega$, and dS is the surface measure on $\partial\Omega$.

Let $(f_0, f_1) \in H^{7/2}(\partial\Omega) \times H^{3/2}(\partial\Omega)$ and $u_j \in H^4(\Omega)$ be such that

$$\mathcal{L}_{A^{(j)},q^{(j)}}u_j = 0 \text{ in } \Omega,\ j = 1, 2, \quad u_1|_{\partial\Omega} = u_2|_{\partial\Omega} = f_0,\ (\Delta u_1)|_{\partial\Omega} = (\Delta u_2)|_{\partial\Omega} = f_1.$$

Then by assumption of Theorem 1.1, there exists an open neighborhood $\widetilde{F}$ of $F(x_0) = \partial\Omega_-$ in $\partial\Omega$, such that

$$\partial_\nu u_1|_{\widetilde{F}} = \partial_\nu u_2|_{\widetilde{F}}, \quad \partial_\nu(\Delta u_1)|_{\widetilde{F}} = \partial_\nu(\Delta u_2)|_{\widetilde{F}}.$$

We have

$$\mathcal{L}_{A^{(1)},q^{(1)}}(u_1-u_2)=(A^{(2)}-A^{(1)})\cdot Du_2+(q^{(2)}-q^{(1)})u_2 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega. \tag{4.2}$$

Let $v\in H^4(\Omega)$ satisfy $\mathcal{L}^*_{A^{(1)},q^{(1)}}v=0$ in Ω. Using (4.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega((A^{(2)}-A^{(1)})\cdot Du_2)\overline{v}dx+\int_\Omega(q^{(2)}-q^{(1)})u_2\overline{v}dx\\ =-\int_{\partial\Omega\setminus\widetilde{F}}\partial_\nu(-\Delta(u_1-u_2))\overline{v}dS-\int_{\partial\Omega\setminus\widetilde{F}}\partial_\nu(u_1-u_2)\overline{(-\Delta v)}dS.\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

To show the equalities $A^{(1)}=A^{(2)}$ and $q^{(1)}=q^{(2)}$, the idea is to use the identity (4.3) with u_2 and v being complex geometric optics solutions and to use the boundary Carleman estimate (3.2) to show that the boundary integrals in (4.3), multiplied by some power of h, tend to zero as $h\to 0$.

To construct the appropriate complex geometric optics solutions, let φ and ψ be defined by (2.8) and (2.9), respectively. Then thanks to Proposition 2.4, we can take

$$u_2(x;h)=e^{\frac{\varphi+i\psi}{h}}(a_0^{(2)}(x)+ha_1^{(2)}(x)+r^{(2)}(x;h)), \tag{4.4}$$

$$v(x;h)=e^{\frac{-\varphi+i\psi}{h}}(a_0^{(1)}(x)+ha_1^{(1)}(x)+r^{(1)}(x;h)), \tag{4.5}$$

where $a_0^{(j)}\in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ and $a_1^{(j)}\in C^4(\overline{\Omega})$, $j=1,2$, are such that

$$\begin{aligned}\left((\nabla\varphi+i\nabla\psi)\cdot\nabla+\frac{\Delta\varphi+i\Delta\psi}{2}\right)^2a_0^{(2)}=0,\\ \left((-\nabla\varphi+i\nabla\psi)\cdot\nabla+\frac{-\Delta\varphi+i\Delta\psi}{2}\right)^2a_0^{(1)}=0,\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

and

$$\|r^{(j)}\|_{H^4_{\mathrm{scl}}}=\mathcal{O}(h^2). \tag{4.7}$$

Substituting u_2 and v, given by (4.4) and (4.5), in (4.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega(A^{(2)}-A^{(1)})\cdot\frac{1}{h}(D\varphi+iD\psi)(a_0^{(2)}+ha_1^{(2)}+r^{(2)})(\overline{a_0^{(1)}}+h\overline{a_1^{(1)}}+\overline{r^{(1)}})dx\\ +\int_\Omega(A^{(2)}-A^{(1)})\cdot(Da_0^{(2)}+hDa_1^{(2)}+Dr^{(2)})(\overline{a_0^{(1)}}+h\overline{a_1^{(1)}}+\overline{r^{(1)}})dx\\ +\int_\Omega(q^{(2)}-q^{(1)})(a_0^{(2)}+ha_1^{(2)}+r^{(2)})(\overline{a_0^{(1)}}+h\overline{a_1^{(1)}}+\overline{r^{(1)}})dx\\ =-\int_{\partial\Omega\setminus\widetilde{F}}\partial_\nu(-\Delta(u_1-u_2))\overline{v}dS-\int_{\partial\Omega\setminus\widetilde{F}}\partial_\nu(u_1-u_2)\overline{(-\Delta v)}dS.\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

Let us now show that

$$h\int_{\partial\Omega\setminus\widetilde{F}}\partial_\nu(-\Delta(u_1-u_2))\overline{v}dS\to 0, \quad \text{as} \quad h\to+0, \tag{4.9}$$

and

$$h\int_{\partial\Omega\setminus\widetilde{F}}\partial_\nu(u_1-u_2)\overline{(-\Delta v)}dS\to 0,\quad\text{as}\quad h\to+0,\tag{4.10}$$

where u_2 and v, given by (4.4) and (4.5). To this end, notice that (4.7) implies that $r^{(j)}=\mathcal{O}(1)$, $j=1,2$, in the standard $(h=1)$ $H^2(\Omega)$–norm. Hence,

$$r^{(j)}|_{\partial\Omega}=\mathcal{O}(1)\quad\text{in}\quad L^2(\partial\Omega),\tag{4.11}$$

and

$$\nabla r^{(j)}|_{\partial\Omega}=\mathcal{O}(1)\quad\text{in}\quad L^2(\partial\Omega).\tag{4.12}$$

Moreover, it follows from (4.7) that $r^{(j)}=\mathcal{O}(1/h)$, $j=1,2$, in the standard $H^3(\Omega)$–norm. Thus,

$$\Delta r^{(j)}|_{\partial\Omega}=\mathcal{O}(1/h)\quad\text{in}\quad L^2(\partial\Omega).\tag{4.13}$$

Furthermore, by the definition of $F(x_0)$ and $\widetilde{F}$, there exists $\varepsilon>0$ fixed such that

$$\partial\Omega_-=F(x_0)\subset F_\varepsilon:=\{x\in\partial\Omega:\partial_\nu\varphi\le\varepsilon\}\subset\widetilde{F}.$$

Using the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality and (4.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|h\int_{\partial\Omega\setminus\widetilde{F}}\partial_\nu(-\Delta(u_1-u_2))\overline{v}dS\right|\\&\le h\int_{\partial\Omega\setminus F_\varepsilon}|\partial_\nu(-\Delta(u_1-u_2))|e^{\frac{-\varphi}{h}}|a_0^{(1)}+ha_1^{(1)}+r^{(1)}|dS\\&\le\mathcal{O}(h)\left(\int_{\partial\Omega\setminus F_\varepsilon}\varepsilon|\partial_\nu(-\Delta(u_1-u_2))|^2e^{\frac{-2\varphi}{h}}dS\right)^{1/2}\|a_0^{(1)}+ha_1^{(1)}+r^{(1)}\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}\\&\le\mathcal{O}(h)\|\sqrt{\partial_\nu\varphi}e^{\frac{-\varphi}{h}}\partial_\nu(-\Delta(u_1-u_2))\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega_+)}.\end{aligned}$$

By the boundary Carleman estimate (3.2) and (4.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{O}(h)\|\sqrt{\partial_\nu\varphi}e^{\frac{-\varphi}{h}}\partial_\nu(-\Delta(u_1-u_2))\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega_+)}\le\mathcal{O}(h^{3/2})\|e^{\frac{-\varphi}{h}}\mathcal{L}_{A^{(1)},q^{(1)}}(u_1-u_2)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\\&=\mathcal{O}(h^{3/2})\|e^{\frac{-\varphi}{h}}(A^{(2)}-A^{(1)})\cdot Du_2+e^{\frac{-\varphi}{h}}(q^{(2)}-q^{(1)})u_2\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\\&\le\mathcal{O}(h^{3/2})\|(A^{(2)}-A^{(1)})\cdot\frac{1}{h}(D\varphi+iD\psi)(a_0^{(2)}+ha_1^{(2)}+r^{(2)})\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\\&+\mathcal{O}(h^{3/2})\|(A^{(2)}-A^{(1)})\cdot(Da_0^{(2)}+hDa_1^{(2)}+Dr^{(2)})\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\\&+\mathcal{O}(h^{3/2})\|(q^{(2)}-q^{(1)})(a_0^{(2)}+ha_1^{(2)}+r^{(2)})\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\le\mathcal{O}(h^{1/2}).\end{aligned}\tag{4.14}$$

Thus, (4.9) follows.

To establish (4.10), we first notice that thanks to (2.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta v =&e^{\frac{-\varphi+i\psi}{h}}\bigg(\frac{-\Delta\varphi+i\Delta\psi}{h}(a_0^{(1)}+ha_1^{(1)}+r^{(1)})\\ &+2\frac{-\nabla\varphi+i\nabla\psi}{h}\cdot(\nabla a_0^{(1)}+h\nabla a_1^{(1)}+\nabla r^{(1)})+\Delta a_0^{(1)}+h\Delta a_1^{(1)}+\Delta r^{(1)}.\bigg)\end{aligned}$$

This together with (4.11), (4.12) and (4.13) imply that

$$\Delta v|_{\partial\Omega}=e^{\frac{-\varphi}{h}}\widetilde{v},\quad \widetilde{v}=\mathcal{O}(1/h)\quad\text{in}\quad L^2(\partial\Omega).$$

This and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality yield that

$$\begin{aligned}\left|h\int_{\partial\Omega\setminus\widetilde{F}}\partial_\nu(u_1-u_2)\overline{(-\Delta v)}dS\right|&\le\mathcal{O}(1)\|\sqrt{\partial_\nu\varphi}e^{\frac{-\varphi}{h}}\partial_\nu(u_1-u_2)\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega_+)}\\ &\le\mathcal{O}(h^{3/2})\|e^{\frac{-\varphi}{h}}\mathcal{L}_{A^{(1)},q^{(1)}}(u_1-u_2)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\le\mathcal{O}(h^{1/2}).\end{aligned}$$

Here we use the boundary Carleman estimate (3.2) and proceed similarly to (4.14). Hence, (4.10) follows.

5. Determining the first order perturbation

Multiplying (4.8) by h and letting $h\to+0$, and using (4.9) and (4.10), we get

$$\int_\Omega(A^{(2)}-A^{(1)})\cdot(\nabla\varphi+i\nabla\psi)a_0^{(2)}\overline{a_0^{(1)}}dx=0,\tag{5.1}$$

where $a_0^{(1)},a_0^{(2)}\in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ satisfy the transport equations (4.6).

Consider now (5.1) with $a_0^{(1)}=e^{\Phi_1}$ and $a_0^{(2)}=e^{\Phi_2}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}(\nabla\varphi+i\nabla\psi)\cdot\nabla\Phi_2+\frac{\Delta\varphi+i\Delta\psi}{2}&=0,\\ (\nabla\varphi+i\nabla\psi)\cdot\nabla\overline{\Phi_1}+\frac{\Delta\varphi+i\Delta\psi}{2}&=0,\end{aligned}\tag{5.2}$$

and $\Phi_j\in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$, $j=1,2$. In the coordinates (z,θ), introduced in Section 2, the equations (5.2) have the following form,

$$\partial_{\bar z}\Phi_2-\frac{(n-2)}{2(z-\bar z)}=0,\quad \partial_{\bar z}\overline{\Phi_1}-\frac{(n-2)}{2(z-\bar z)}=0.$$

Hence,

$$\partial_{\bar z}(\Phi_2+\overline{\Phi_1})-\frac{n-2}{z-\bar z}=0.\tag{5.3}$$

Notice that ge^{Φ_2} with $g\in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ such that

$$(\nabla\varphi+i\nabla\psi)\cdot\nabla g=0\quad\text{in}\quad\Omega,\tag{5.4}$$

also satisfies the transport equation (4.6). In the coordinates (z, θ), the condition (5.4) reads $\partial_{\bar{z}} g = 0$ in Ω.

Substituting $a_0^{(2)} = ge^{\Phi_2}$ and $a_0^{(1)} = e^{\Phi_1}$ in (5.1), we get

$$\int_\Omega (A^{(2)} - A^{(1)}) \cdot (\nabla\varphi + i\nabla\psi) g e^{\Phi_2 + \overline{\Phi_1}} dx = 0. \tag{5.5}$$

In the cylindrical coordinates (z, θ), by (2.15), the identity (5.5) has the form,

$$\int_\Omega (A^{(2)} - A^{(1)}) \cdot (e_1 + ie_r) \frac{g}{z} e^{\Phi_2 + \overline{\Phi_1}} r^{n-2} dr d\theta dx_1 = 0.$$

Let P_θ be the two-dimensional plane consisting of points $(x_1, r\theta)$ for θ fixed, and write $\Omega_\theta = \Omega \cap P_\theta$. We also use the complex variable $z = x_1 + ir$, which identifies P_θ with $\mathbb{C}$.

Taking $g = g_1(z) \otimes g_2(\theta) \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$, where g_1 is holomorphic and varying g_2, we obtain as in [7], for almost all $\theta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-2}$,

$$\int_{\Omega_\theta} (A^{(2)} - A^{(1)}) \cdot (e_1 + ie_r) \frac{g_1}{z} e^{\Phi_2 + \overline{\Phi_1}} (z - \bar{z})^{n-2} dz \wedge d\bar{z} = 0,$$

and hence, for all $\theta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-2}$, by continuity. Since $\operatorname{Im} z > 0$ in Ω, the function g_1/z is an arbitrary holomorphic function and therefore, we can drop the factor $1/z$. We get

$$\int_{\Omega_\theta} (A^{(2)} - A^{(1)}) \cdot (e_1 + ie_r) g_1 e^{\Phi_2 + \overline{\Phi_1}} (z - \bar{z})^{n-2} dz \wedge d\bar{z} = 0.$$

By (5.3), we conclude that

$$\partial_{\bar{z}} (e^{\Phi_2 + \overline{\Phi_1}} (z - \bar{z})^{n-2}) = 0. \tag{5.6}$$

Since $\operatorname{Im} z > 0$ in Ω, the holomorphic function $e^{\Phi_2 + \overline{\Phi_1}} (z - \bar{z})^{n-2}$ is nowhere vanishing, and we can choose $g_1 = (e^{\Phi_2 + \overline{\Phi_1}} (z - \bar{z})^{n-2})^{-1} g_0$, where $\partial_{\bar{z}} g_0 = 0$ in Ω. We get

$$\int_{\Omega_\theta} (A^{(2)} - A^{(1)}) \cdot (e_1 + ie_r) g_0 dz \wedge d\bar{z} = 0. \tag{5.7}$$

Choosing complex geometric optics solutions u_2 and v as in (4.4) and (4.5), where ψ is replaced by $-\psi$, we conclude, by repeating the arguments above, that also

$$\int_{\Omega_\theta} (A^{(2)} - A^{(1)}) \cdot (e_1 - ie_r) \widetilde{g}_0 dz \wedge d\bar{z} = 0, \tag{5.8}$$

where $\partial_z \widetilde{g}_0 = 0$ in Ω.

Thus, since any $\xi \in P_\theta$ is a linear combination of e_1 and e_r, choosing $g_0 = \widetilde{g}_0 = 1$ in (5.7) and (5.8), we get

$$\int_{\Omega_\theta} (A^{(2)} - A^{(1)}) \cdot \xi dz \wedge d\bar{z} = 0 \quad \text{for all } \xi \in P_\theta.$$

At this point we are exactly in the same situation as the one, described in [7, Section 5], see formula (5.7) there. Repeating the arguments given in that paper, following this formula, we obtain that $d(A^{(2)}-A^{(1)})=0$ in Ω. Here $A^{(1)}$ and $A^{(2)}$ are viewed as 1–forms. We may notice that the arguments of [7, Section 5] are based upon the microlocal Helgason support theorem combined with the microlocal Holmgren theorem.

Since Ω is simply connected, we may write

$$A^{(2)}-A^{(1)}=\nabla\Psi, \tag{5.9}$$

with $\Psi\in C^5(\overline{\Omega})$.

Our next step is to prove that $\Psi=0$ on $\overline{\Omega}$. Writing (5.7) and (5.8) in the Euclidian coordinates and using (5.9) and (2.15), we have

$$\int_{\Omega_\theta}(x_1+ir)(\nabla\varphi+i\nabla\psi)\cdot(\nabla\Psi)g_0dx_1dr=0,$$
$$\int_{\Omega_\theta}(x_1-ir)(\nabla\varphi-i\nabla\psi)\cdot(\nabla\Psi)\widetilde{g}_0dx_1dr=0.$$

Thus, by (2.16) we get

$$\int_{\Omega_\theta}(\partial_{\bar z}\Psi)g_0dz\wedge d\bar z=0,\quad \int_{\Omega_\theta}(\partial_z\Psi)\widetilde{g}_0dz\wedge d\bar z=0,$$

for all $\theta\in\mathbb{S}^{n-2}$, $g_0,\widetilde{g}_0\in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega_\theta})$ such that $\partial_{\bar z}g_0=0$ in Ω_θ, and $\partial_z\widetilde{g}_0=0$. By Sard's theorem, the boundary of Ω_θ is smooth for almost all θ, see [7, 21] for more details. Thus, by Stokes' theorem, we get

$$\int_{\partial\Omega_\theta}\Psi g_0dz=0,\quad \int_{\partial\Omega_\theta}\Psi\widetilde{g}_0d\bar z=0,$$

for almost all $\theta\in\mathbb{S}^{n-2}$. Hence, taking $\widetilde{g}_0=\overline{g_0}$, we obtain that

$$\int_{\partial\Omega_\theta}\overline{\Psi}g_0dz=0,$$

and therefore,

$$\int_{\partial\Omega_\theta}(\operatorname{Re}\Psi)g_0dz=0,\quad \int_{\partial\Omega_\theta}(\operatorname{Im}\Psi)g_0dz=0,$$

for any $g_0\in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega_\theta})$ such that $\partial_{\bar z}g_0=0$ and for almost all θ. At this point we are precisely in the same situation as the one, described in the beginning of [7, Section 6]. Repeating the arguments of that paper, we conclude that Ψ is constant along the connected set $\partial\Omega$, and we may and shall assume that $\Psi=0$ along $\partial\Omega$.

Going back to (5.1), we get

$$\int_\Omega (\nabla\Psi)\cdot(\nabla\varphi+i\nabla\psi)a_0^{(2)}\overline{a_0^{(1)}}dx=0, \tag{5.10}$$

where $a_0^{(1)}, a_0^{(2)} \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ satisfy the transport equations (4.6). Integrating by parts in (5.10) and using the fact that $\Psi=0$ along $\partial\Omega$, we obtain that

$$\int_\Omega \Psi\bigg((\Delta\varphi+i\Delta\psi)+(\nabla\varphi+i\nabla\psi)\cdot\nabla\bigg)a_0^{(2)}\overline{a_0^{(1)}}dx=0.$$

This implies that

$$\int_\Omega \Psi\bigg((Ta_0^{(2)})\overline{a_0^{(1)}}+a_0^{(2)}T\overline{a_0^{(1)}}\bigg)dx=0, \tag{5.11}$$

where the operator T is given by (2.11). We choose now $a_0^{(1)}\in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ being a solution of the equation $T\overline{a_0^{(1)}}=0$ of the form $a_0^{(1)}=e^{\Phi_1}$. As for $a_0^{(2)}\in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$, we require that $Ta_0^{(2)}=e^{\Phi_2}g$, where $\Phi_2\in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ is such that $Te^{\Phi_2}=0$ and $g\in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ is such that $(\nabla\varphi+i\nabla\psi)\cdot\nabla g=0$. It is clear that $T^2a_0^{(2)}=0$. The existence of such $a_0^{(1)}$ and $a_0^{(2)}$ is explained in Section 2. Thus, it follows from (5.11) that

$$\int_\Omega \Psi e^{\Phi_2+\overline{\Phi_1}}gdx=0. \tag{5.12}$$

In the coordinates (z,θ), (5.12) reads

$$\int_\Omega \Psi g e^{\Phi_2+\overline{\Phi_1}}(z-\bar z)^{n-2}dz\wedge d\bar z\wedge d\theta=0. \tag{5.13}$$

As before, the function $e^{\Phi_2+\overline{\Phi_1}}(z-\bar z)^{n-2}$ is nowhere vanishing holomorphic in z, see (5.6), and we shall take $g=(e^{\Phi_2+\overline{\Phi_1}}(z-\bar z)^{n-2})^{-1}\otimes g_2(\theta)$, where g_2 is smooth. Hence, (5.13) implies that

$$\int_\Omega \Psi(x_1,r,\theta)g_2(\theta)dx_1drd\theta=0,$$

for any smooth function $g_2(\theta)$. We arrive exactly at the formula (6.2) of the paper [7], and arguing as in [7], appealing, as before, to results of analytic microlocal analysis, we obtain that $\Psi=0$ in Ω. Hence, we conclude that $A^{(1)}=A^{(2)}$ in Ω.

The final step in proving Theorem 1.1 is to show that $q^{(1)}=q^{(2)}$ in Ω. To this end, substituting $A^{(1)}=A^{(2)}$ in (4.8) and letting $h\to+0$, we get

$$\int_\Omega (q^{(2)}-q^{(1)})a_0^{(2)}\overline{a_0^{(1)}}dx=0. \tag{5.14}$$

Here we use the fact that

$$\left|\int_{\partial\Omega\setminus\widetilde{F}}\partial_\nu(-\Delta(u_1-u_2))\overline{v}dS\right|\le\mathcal{O}(h^{1/2}),\left|\int_{\partial\Omega\setminus\widetilde{F}}\partial_\nu(u_1-u_2)\overline{(-\Delta v)}dS\right|\le\mathcal{O}(h^{1/2}),\tag{5.15}$$

where u_2 and v, given by (4.4) and (4.5). Notice that (5.15) is obtained similarly to (4.9) and (4.10) but under the assumption that $A^{(1)}=A^{(2)}$.

We choose $a_0^{(1)},a_0^{(2)}\in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ to be such that $a_0^{(1)}=e^{\Phi_1}$ and $a_0^{(2)}=e^{\Phi_2}g$, where $\Phi_1,\Phi_2\in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ are such that $Te^{\overline{\Phi_1}}=0$, $Te^{\Phi_2}=0$, and $g\in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ is such that $(\nabla\varphi+i\nabla\psi)\cdot\nabla g=0$. Thus, (5.14) yields that

$$\int_\Omega(q^{(2)}-q^{(1)})e^{\Phi_2+\overline{\Phi_1}}gdx=0.$$

Arguing in the same way as after (5.12), we conclude that $q^{(1)}=q^{(2)}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Acknowledgements

The research of K.K. is supported by the Academy of Finland (project 125599). The research of M.L. is partially supported by the Academy of Finland Center of Excellence programme 213476. The research of G.U. is partially supported by the National Science Foundation.

References

[1] Astala, K., Päivärinta, L., *Calderón's inverse conductivity problem in the plane*, Ann. of Math. **163** (2006), no. 1, 265–299.

[2] Astala, K., Päivärinta, L., and Lassas, M., *Calderón's inverse problem for anisotropic conductivity in the plane*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **30** (2005), no. 1–3, 207–224.

[3] Bukhgeim, A., *Recovering a potential from Cauchy data in the two-dimensional case*, J. Inverse Ill-Posed Probl. **16** (2008), no. 1, 19–33.

[4] Bukhgeim, A., Uhlmann, G., *Recovering a potential from partial Cauchy data*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **27** (2002), no. 3–4, 653–668.

[5] Calderon, A., *On an inverse boundary value problem*, Comput. Appl. Math. **25** (2006), 133–138.

[6] Caro, P., Ola, P., and Salo, M., *Inverse boundary value problem for Maxwell equations with local data*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **34** (2009), no. 10–12, 1425–1464.

[7] Dos Santos Ferreira, D., Kenig, C., Sjöstrand, J., and Uhlmann, G., *Determining a magnetic Schrödinger operator from partial Cauchy data*, Comm. Math. Phys. **271** (2007), no. 2, 467–488.

[8] Eskin, G., Ralston, J., *Inverse scattering problem for the Schrödinger equation with magnetic potential at a fixed energy*, Comm. Math. Phys. **173** (1995), no. 1, 199–224.

[9] Gazzola, F., Grunau, H.-C., and Sweers, G., *Polyharmonic boundary value problems*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2010.

[10] Greenleaf, A., Lassas, M., and Uhlmann, G., *On nonuniqueness for Calderón's inverse problem*, Math. Res. Lett. **10** (2003), 685–693.

[11] Greenleaf, A., Lassas, M., and Uhlmann, G., *The Calderón problem for conormal potentials. I. Global uniqueness and reconstruction*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **56** (2003), no. 3, 328–352.

[12] Greenleaf, A., Kurylev, Y., Lassas, M., and Uhlmann, G., *Full-wave invisibility of active devices at all frequencies*, Comm. Math. Phys. **275** (2007), 749–789.

[13] Greenleaf, A., Kurylev, Y., Lassas, M., and Uhlmann, G., *Invisibility and inverse problems*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **46** (2009), 55–97.

[14] Grubb, G., *Distributions and operators*, volume 252 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, New York, 2009.

[15] Guillarmou, C., Tzou, L., *Identification of a connection from Cauchy data space on a Riemann surface with boundary*, to appear in GAFA.

[16] Guillarmou, C., Tzou, L., *Calderón inverse problem with partial data on Riemann surfaces*, to appear in Duke Math. J.

[17] Imanuvilov, O., Uhlmann, G., and Yamamoto, M., *The Calderón Problem with Partial Data in Two Dimensions*, Journal American Math. Society **23** (2010), 655–691.

[18] Isakov, V., *On uniqueness in the inverse conductivity problem with local data*, Inverse Probl. Imaging **1** (2007), no. 1, 95–105.

[19] Katchalov, A., Kurylev, Y., and Lassas M., *Inverse Boundary Spectral Problems*, Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics 123, Chapman Hall/CRC-press, 2001.

[20] Kenig, C., Sjöstrand, J., and Uhlmann, G., *The Calderón problem with partial data*, Ann. of Math. (2) **165** (2007), no. 2, 567–591.

[21] Knudsen, K., Salo, M., *Determining nonsmooth first order terms from partial boundary measurements*, Inverse Probl. Imaging **1** (2007), no. 2, 349–369.

[22] Kohn, R., Shen, H., Vogelius, M., and Weinstein, M., *Cloaking via change of variables in electrical impedance tomography*, Inverse Problems **24** (2008), 015016.

[23] Krupchyk, K., Lassas, M., and Uhlmann, G., *Inverse boundary value problems for the perturbed polyharmonic operator*, see http://arxiv.org/abs/1102.5542.

[24] Lassas, M., Taylor, M., and Uhlmann, G., *The Dirichlet-to-Neumann map for complete Riemannian manifolds with boundary*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **11** (2003), 207–221.

[25] Lassas, M., Uhlmann, G., *On determining a Riemannian manifold from the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (4) **34** (2001), 771–787.

[26] Lee, J., Uhlmann, G., *Determining anisotropic real-analytic conductivities by boundary measurements*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **42** (1989), no. 8, 1097–1112.

[27] Leonhardt, U., *Optical Conformal Mapping*, Science **312** (2006), 1777–1780.

[28] Nachman, A., *Reconstructions from boundary measurements*, Ann. of Math. (2) **128** (1988), no. 3, 531–576.

[29] Nachman, A., *Global uniqueness for a two-dimensional inverse boundary value problem*, Ann. of Math. **143** (1996), no. 1, 71–96.

[30] Nakamura, G., Sun, Z., and Uhlmann, G., *Global identifiability for an inverse problem for the Schrödinger equation in a magnetic field*, Math. Ann. **303** (1995), no. 3, 377–388.

[31] Novikov, R., *A multidimensional inverse spectral problem for the equation* $-\Delta\psi + (v(x) - Eu(x))\psi = 0$, (Russian) Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. **22** (1988), no. 4, 11–22, 96; translation in Funct. Anal. Appl. **22** (1988), no. 4, 263–272 (1989) .

[32] Pendry, J., Schurig D., and Smith S., *Controlling electromagnetic fields*, Science **312** (2006), 1780–1782.

[33] Päivärinta, L., Panchenko, A., and Uhlmann, G., *Complex geometrical optics for Lipschitz conductivities*, Rev. Mat. Iberoam **19** (2003), 57–72.

[34] Salo, M., *Semiclassical pseudodifferential calculus and the reconstruction of a magnetic field*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **31** (2006), no. 10–12, 1639–1666.

[35] Salo, M., Tzou, L., *Carleman estimates and inverse problems for Dirac operators*, Math. Ann. **344** (2009), no. 1, 161–184.

[36] Salo, M., Tzou, L., *Inverse problems with partial data for a Dirac system: a Carleman estimate approach*, Adv. Math. **225** (2010), no. 1, 487–513.

[37] Sun, Z., *An inverse boundary value problem for Schrödinger operators with vector potentials*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **338** (1993), no. 2, 953–969.

[38] Sylvester, J., *An anisotropic inverse boundary value problem*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **43**, (1990), no. 2, 201–232.

[39] Sylvester, J., Uhlmann, G., *A global uniqueness theorem for an inverse boundary value problem*, Ann. of Math., **125** (1987), no. 1, 153–169.

K. Krupchyk, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Helsinki,
P.O. Box 68, FI-00014 Helsinki, Finland

E-mail address: `katya.krupchyk@helsinki.fi`

M. Lassas, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Helsinki,
P.O. Box 68, FI-00014 Helsinki, Finland

E-mail address: `matti.lassas@helsinki.fi`

G. Uhlmann, Department of Mathematics, University of Washington, Seattle,
WA 98195-4350, and Department of Mathematics, 340 Rowland Hall, University
of California, Irvine, CA 92697-3875, USA

E-mail address: `gunther@math.washington.edu`